## AN ELEMENTARY APPROACH TO THE STACK SIZE OF REGULARLY DISTRIBUTED BINARY TREES

 $(1, 32) = \frac{(1 - 32)(1 - 32)(2 - (32, 32)}{2(1 - 32)(2 - (3))} = (32, 32), 51$ 

BY

## HELMUT PRODINGER

For the sake of brevity we assume that the reader has a certain knowledge of [2]. Let T be a binary tree with n leaves. Evaluating T in postorder it is assumed that in one unit of time a node is stored in the stack or is removed from the top of the stack. Consider the number of nodes stored in the stack after t units of time. Let  $R_d(n, t)$  denote the d-th moment with respect to the origin of this statistic. In [1] R. Kemp was able to produce an exact formula for  $R_1(n, t)$  by use of 2 combinatorial identities. These identities are generalized and more easily proved in [3]. As stated in [2], a similar approach would give exact formulas for  $R_d(n,t)$ , d odd, but not for even d. For that purpose R. Kemp used a complex variable approach to give asymptotic equivalents for the numbers  $R_d(n, t)$ , assuming that  $n, t \to \infty$  and  $t \to 2\rho n$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,  $\rho$  a constant. He obtains

(1) 
$$R_d(n, t) = \pi^{-1/2} 2^{d+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d+3}{2}\right) \cdot (n\rho(1-\rho))^{d/2} + O(n^{(d-1)/2}).$$

Here we show that it is possible to give exact formulas for  $R_d(n, t)$  for all d by elementary methods. For instance, we give a formula for  $R_2(n, t)$  from which an exact formula for the variance can be obtained by

$$\sigma^2(n, t) = R_2(n, t) - R_1^2(n, t)$$

bus

a rearrangement of (b)

and Kemp's formula for  $R_1(n, t)$  (see [1]).

$$R_{1}(n, 2t) = \frac{2t(n-t)(2n-1)}{(n-1)n} \cdot \varphi(n, t)$$

$$R_{1}(n, 2t+1) = \frac{(2t+1)(2n-2t-1)-n}{n-1}$$

$$\cdot \frac{2n-1}{2n-2t-1} \cdot \frac{n-t}{n} \cdot \varphi(n, t)$$

with

$$\varphi(n, t) = {2t \choose t} {2n - 2t \choose n - t} {2n \choose n}^{-1}.$$

It is known [2] that

(3) 
$$R_{d}(n, 2t + s) = \frac{2(2n - 1)}{(2t + s)(2n - 2t - s)} {\binom{2n}{n}}^{-1} \cdot \sum_{t \ge 0} (2k + s)^{d+2} {\binom{2t + s}{t - k}} {\binom{2n - 2t - s}{n - t - s - k}}.$$

Let

(4) 
$$f(d, s; m, n) := \sum_{k \ge 1} (2k+s)^d {2m+s \choose m-k} {2n+s \choose n-k},$$

d, s, m,  $n \in N_0$ . We propose to show how a closed formula for f(d, s; m, n) can be obtained which is obviously equivalent to the same problem for  $R_d(n, t)$ . The method is essentially included in [3].

Theorem 1. The following recursion holds for the numbers f(d, s; m, n):

(5) 
$$f(d+2, s; m, n) = (2m+s)^2 f(d, s; m, n) - 4(2m+s)_2 f(d, s; m-1, n).$$

Here,  $(x)_k$  denotes the falling factorials.

Proof. Since

(6) 
$$\left(\frac{2m-2+s}{m-1-k}\right) = \frac{(m-k)(m+k+s)}{(2m+s)_2} \left(\frac{2m+s}{m-k}\right)$$

and

(7) 
$$4(m-k)(m+k+s) = (2m+s)^2 - (2k+s)^2,$$

a rearrangement of (6) and summation over  $k \ge 1$  gives (5).

So if we have formulas for d = 0 and 1, we have solved our problem. f(1, s; m, n) is known [3]:

(8) 
$$f(1, s; m, n) = {2m+s \choose m} {2n+s \choose n} \frac{mn}{m+n+s}.$$

Theorem 2.

(9) 
$$f(0, s; m, n) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \binom{2m + 2n + 2s}{m + n + s} - \sum_{0 \le k \le s} \binom{2m + s}{m + k} \binom{2n + s}{n + k} \right].$$

**Proof.** Let without loss of generality  $m \leq n$ .

$$\xi = \sum_{0 \le k \le 2m+s} {2m+s \choose k} {2n+s \choose m+n+s-k} + {2m+s \choose m} {2n+s \choose n}$$

$$- \sum_{m \le k \le 2m+s} {2m+s \choose k} {2n+s \choose n-m+k}$$

$$= {2m+2n+2s \choose m+n+s} + {2m+s \choose m} {2n+s \choose n}$$

$$- \xi - \sum_{1 \le k \le s} {2m+s \choose m+k} {2n+s \choose n},$$

which gives (9), since

$$\xi = \sum_{0 \le k \le m} {2m+s \choose m-k} {2n+s \choose n-k}$$

$$= f(0, s; m, n) + {2m+s \choose m} {2n+s \choose n}. \square$$

Obviously, (9) is only useful for small values of s. However, in practice we require just s=0 and s=1. By doing some computations using Theorem 1 we obtain

Corollary 3.

(10) 
$$f(2, 0; m, n) = {2m + 2n \choose m + n} \frac{2mn}{2m + 2n - 1},$$

(11) 
$$f(4, 0; m, n) = {2m + 2n \choose m + n} \frac{8mn(3mn - m - n)}{(2m + 2n - 1)(2m + 2n - 3)}$$

(12) 
$$f(2, 1; m, n) = \frac{1}{2} {2m + 2n + 2 \choose m + n + 1} \frac{2m + 2n + 1 + 4mn}{2m + 2n + 1} - {2m + 1 \choose m} = f(0, 1; m, n) + \frac{2mn}{2m + 2n + 1} {2m + 2n + 2 \choose m + n + 1},$$

$$f(4, 1; m, n) = \frac{1}{2} {2m + 2n + 2 \choose m + n + 1} \frac{(2m+1)(2n+1)(12mn+2m+2n-1)}{(2m+2n+1)(2m+2n-1)}$$

$$- {2m+1 \choose m} {2n+1 \choose n} = f(2, 1; m, n) + {2m+2n+2 \choose m+n+1} \frac{6mn(2m+1)(2n+1)}{(2m+2n+1)(2m+2n-1)}. \square$$

Since

$$R_{d}(n, 2t + s) = \frac{2(2n - 1)}{(2t + s)(2n - 2t - s)} {\binom{2n}{n}}^{-1} \cdot \left[ s^{d+2} {\binom{2t + s}{t}} {\binom{2n - 2t - s}{n - t}} \right] + f(d + 2, s; t, n - t - s),$$

an obvious computation gives

Theorem 4.

(15) 
$$R_{2}(n, 2t) = \frac{12t(n-t)-4n}{2n-3},$$

$$R_{2}(n, 2t+1) = \frac{12t(n-t-1)+2n-3}{2n-3}. \quad \Box$$

It is trivial to obtain an asymptotic formula for  $R_2(n, t)$ ,  $n \to \infty$ ,  $t \to \rho n$ .

It is worthwile to discuss the limitations of our elementary approach: A general asymptotic formula like (1) cannot be obtained, although the recursion (5) seems to be promising. But unfortunately the two terms of the right-hand side of (5) are of equal rate of growth.

## REFERENCES

1. R. Kemp, On The Average Stack Size of Regularly Distributed Binary Trees, H.A. Maurer (Ed.), Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci. 71 (1979), 340-355.

2. R. Kemp, A Note on The Stack Size of Regularly Distributed Binary Trees, BIT 20

(1980), 157-163.

3. H. Prodinger, The Average Height of a Stack where Three Operations are Allowed and Some Related Problems, Journal of Combinatorics, Information & System Sciences 5 (1980), 287-304.

Institut für Algebra und Diskrete Mathematik TU Wien, Gußhausstraße 27-29, A-1040 Wien Austria.