ON THE EXPANSION OF FIBONACCI AND LUCAS POLYNOMIALS

HELMUT PRODINGER

Abstract. Recently, Belbachir and Bencherif [2] have expanded Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials using bases of Fibonacci and Lucas like polynomials. Here, we provide simplified proofs of the expansion formulæ, that in essence a computer can do.

Furthermore, for 2 of the 5 instances, we find q-analogues.

1. Introduction

In [2], Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials were studied:

$$U_0 = 0,\ U_1 = 1,\ U_n = xU_{n-1} + yU_{n-2},$$
$$V_0 = 2,\ V_1 = x,\ V_n = xV_{n-1} + yV_{n-2}.$$

We prefer the modified polynomials

$$u_0 = 0,\ u_1 = 1,\ u_n = u_{n-1} + zu_{n-2},$$
$$v_0 = 2,\ v_1 = 1,\ v_n = v_{n-1} + zv_{n-2},$$

so that

$$U_n(x,y) = x^n u_n\Big(\frac{y}{x^2}\Big), \quad V_n(x,y) = x^n v_n\Big(\frac{y}{x^2}\Big).$$

Then, with

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1+4z}}{2},$$
$$u_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4z}}(\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n), \qquad v_n = \lambda_1^n + \lambda_2^n.$$

Substituting $z = t/(1-t)^2$, these formulæ become particularly nice:

$$u_n = \frac{1-(-t)^n}{(1+t)(1-t)^{n-1}}, \qquad v_n = \frac{1+(-t)^n}{(1-t)^n}.$$

The main result of [2] are the following 5 formulæ:

$$2u_{2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n,k} v_{2n-k}, \qquad a_{n,k} = 2\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j+k}\binom{j}{k} - (-1)^{n+k}\binom{n}{k}. \tag{1.1}$$

$$u_{2n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} u_{2n-k}, \qquad b_{n,k} = (-1)^{k+1}\binom{n}{k}. \tag{1.2}$$

Date: October 20, 2008.

Key words and phrases. Fibonacci polynomials, Lucas polynomials, generating functions, q-analogues.

$$v_{2n-1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{n,k}u_{2n-k},\qquad c_{n,k}=2(-1)^{k+1}\binom{n}{k}-[k=1]. \tag{1.3}$$

$$2v_{2n-1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}d_{n,k}v_{2n-1-k},\qquad d_{n,k}=(-1)^{k+1}\frac{2n-k}{n}\binom{n}{k}. \tag{1.4}$$

$$2u_{2n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}e_{n,k}v_{2n-1-k}, \tag{1.5}$$

$$e_{n,k}=(-1)^{k+1}\frac{2n-k}{2n}\binom{n}{k}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j+k-1}\binom{j}{k-1}-\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{n+k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

But the proofs of all these, using the simple forms for u_n and v_n, can be done by a computer! To give the reader an idea, let us do the last one, which seems to be the most complicated:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{n}e_{n,k}v_{2n-1-k}&=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1}\frac{2n-k}{2n}\binom{n}{k}v_{2n-1-k}\\&+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{j+1}(-1)^{j+k-1}\binom{j}{k-1}v_{2n-1-k}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{n+k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}v_{2n-1-k}\\&=\frac{1-t^{2n-1}}{(1-t)^{2n-1}}+\frac{1+t^{2n-1}}{(1-t)^{2n-2}(1+t)}-\frac{(-1)^nt^{n-1}}{(1-t)^{2n-2}}+\frac{(-1)^nt^{n-1}}{(1-t)^{2n-2}}\\&=\frac{2(1-t^{2n})}{(1-t)^{2n-1}(1+t)}=u_{2n}.\end{aligned}$$

The other proofs are similar/easier:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n}a_{n,k}v_{2n-k}&=\frac{2[(-t)^n(1+t)+1+t^{2n+1}]}{(1-t)^{2n}(1+t)}-\frac{2(-t)^n}{(1-t)^{2n}}\\&=\frac{2[1+t^{2n+1}]}{(1-t)^{2n}(1+t)}=2u_{2n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{n,k}u_{2n-k}&=\frac{2(1-t^{2n})}{(1-t)^{2n-1}(1+t)}-\frac{1+t^{2n-1}}{(1+t)(1-t)^{2n-2}}\\&=\frac{1-t^{2n-1}}{(1-t)^{2n-1}}=v_{2n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

2. q-analogues

Now we are interested in q-analogues. For this, we replace u_n by

$$\mathrm{Fib}_n=\sum_{0\le k\le\frac{n-1}{2}}q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}n-k-1\\k\end{bmatrix}_q z^k$$

and v_n by

$$\mathrm{Luc}_n=\sum_{0\le k\le \frac{n}{2}} q^{\binom{k}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}n-k\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{[n]_q}{[n-k]_q}z^k,$$

as suggested by Cigler [3]. We use standard q-notation here:

$$[n]_q:=1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1},\quad [n]_q!:=[1]_q[2]_q\dots[n]_q,\quad \begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q:=\frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!},$$

compare [1]; the notions of the Introduction are the special instance $q=1$.

Theorem 1.

$$\mathrm{Luc}_{2n-1}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}d_{n,k}\mathrm{Luc}_{2n-1-k},$$

with

$$d_{n,k}=(-1)^{k-1}\frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{1+q^{n-1}}\left(\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\k\end{bmatrix}_q+q^{n-1}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\right).$$

Proof. We must prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{0\le k\le n-1} & q^{\binom{k}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}2n-1-k\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{[2n-1]_q}{[2n-1-k]_q}z^k\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}\frac{q^{\binom{j}{2}}}{1+q^{n-1}}\left(\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\j\end{bmatrix}_q+q^{n-1}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\right)\\ &\qquad\times\sum_{0\le k\le \frac{2n-j-1}{2}}q^{\binom{k}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}2n-j-1-k\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{[2n-j-1]_q}{[2n-j-1-k]_q}z^k.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients, we have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&q^{\binom{k}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}2n-1-k\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{[2n-1]_q}{[2n-1-k]_q}\\ &=\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}\frac{q^{\binom{j}{2}}}{1+q^{n-1}}\left(\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\j\end{bmatrix}_q+q^{n-1}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\right)q^{\binom{k}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}2n-j-1-k\\k\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{[2n-j-1]_q}{[2n-j-1-k]_q}.\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying, we must prove that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}\left(\begin{bmatrix}n-1\\j\end{bmatrix}_q+q^{n-1}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\right)\begin{bmatrix}2n-j-2-k\\k-1\end{bmatrix}_q[2n-j-1]_q=0.$$

Another form of this is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}\left(1-q^{2n-1}-q^{n-j}+q^{n-1}\right)\left(1-q^{2n-j-1}\right)\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix}2n-j-2-k\\k-1\end{bmatrix}_q=0.$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}n\\j\end{bmatrix}_q q^{-aj}=0$$

for $0 \le a \le n-1$. This follows from *Rothe's* formula [1, p. 490]

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x^j = (1-x)(1-xq)\dots(1-q^{n-1}).$$

We write the desired identity as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \Big(A + Bq^{-j} + Cq^{-2j}\Big) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \Big(D_0 q^{-0} + \dots + D_{k-1} q^{-j(k-1)}\Big) = 0.$$

Therefore, for $k \le n-2$, the identity holds. For $k = n-1$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{1}(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \Big(1 - q^{2n-1} - q^{n-j} + q^{n-1}\Big)\Big(1 - q^{2n-j-1}\Big) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n-j-1 \\ n-2 \end{bmatrix}_q = 0$$

can be shown by inspection, and for $k = n$, the identity holds, since the sum is empty. □

Theorem 2.

$$\mathrm{Fib}_{2n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{n,k} \mathrm{Fib}_{2n-k}$$

with

$$b_{n,k} = (-1)^{k-1} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Proof. We must prove that

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le n-1} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q z^k$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1} q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \sum_{0 \le k \le \frac{2n-j-1}{2}} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n-j-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q z^k.$$

Comparing coefficients, this means

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 2n-j-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = 0,$$

which follows by a similar but simpler argument than before. □

3. Conclusion

We found 2 q-analogues; for the remaining 3 instances we were not successful and leave this as a challenge for anybody who is interested.

References

[1] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, Special functions, Cambridge University Press (2000).
[2] H. Belbachir and F. Bencherif, On some properties of bivariate Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, Journal of Integer Sequences **11**, (2008), Article 08.2.6 (10 pages).
[3] J. Cigler, A new class of q-Fibonacci polynomials, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **10(1)**, (2003), Article R19 (15 pages).

Helmut Prodinger, Department of Mathematics, University of Stellenbosch, 7602 Stellenbosch, South Africa
E-mail address: hproding@sun.ac.za