## A SUBWORD VERSION OF D'OCAGNE'S FORMULA

## Helmut Prodinger

The base two version of d'Ocagne's formula reads as follows: Let B(n) be the sum of digits of (n)<sub>2</sub>, where (n)<sub>2</sub> means the binary representation of n. If  $x = 2^{e_1} + 2^{e_2} + \dots + 2^{e_r}$ , where  $e_1 > e_2 > \dots > e_r \ge 0$ , then

(1) 
$$\sum_{1 \le n \le x} B(n) = \sum_{1 \le i \le r} (e_i + 2i - 2) 2^{e_i - 1}.$$

For this result we refer to [4]; that paper contains an extensive list of references on digital sums and related topics.

Let us recall that B(n) is just the number of 1's in  $(n)_2$ . In this note we are counting subwords rather than the occurrences of the digit 1. To be more precise, let  $B_s(n)$  be the number of subword occurrences of 11...1 (s consecutive 1's) in  $(n)_2$ . Compare [1,2,3] for some recent results on that subject.

If x is represented as above, we prove the following subword version of d'Ocagne's formula:

THEOREM.

(2) 
$$\sum_{0 \le n < x} B_s(n) = \sum_{1 \le i \le r} 2^{e_i} \left[ \frac{e_i^{-(s-1)}}{2^s} + \sum_{1 \le k \le i-s} \delta_k^{(s)} \right]$$

where for  $s \ge 2$   $\delta_k^{(s)} = 1$  if  $e_j = e_{j+1} + 1$  for  $k \le j < k+s-1$  and  $\delta_k^{(s)} = 0$  otherwise;  $\delta_k^{(1)} = 1$  for  $1 \le k \le r$ . The empty sum is to be interpreted as 0 and a-b means that a-b is to be replaced by 0 if a-b<0.

To prove this Theorem we first need a lemma.

UTILITAS MATHEMATICA, Vol. 24 (1983), pp. 125-129

LEMMA.

(3) 
$$\chi := \sum_{0 \le n \le 2^m} B_s(n) = 2^{m-s} [m - (s-1)].$$

*Proof.* This result appears implicitly in [1,2,3] as a special case of a more general result. However, it may be interesting to give a more direct proof in this case. Assume  $m \ge s$ . Let  $[x^n]$  f denote the coefficient of  $x^n$  in the (formal) power series f. We need the following formula:

$$\sum_{j\geq 1} \left( j \cdot (s-1) \right) x^{j} = \frac{x^{s}}{(1-x)^{2}}.$$

The numbers n in the sum (3) are, written in the binary representation, just the words with the letters 0 and 1 of length m. The set of these words is now partitioned according to the blocks of 0's and 1's. We may write  $(n)_2 = 0^{i_0} 1^{j_1} 1^{j_2} 1^{j_2} 1^{j_1} 1^{j_2} 1^{j_1}$ 

(5) 
$$\chi = \sum_{t \ge 1} \sum_{*} \left[ (j_1 - (s-1)) + \dots + (j_t - (s-1)) \right] = \sum_{t \ge 1} t \cdot \xi.$$

Here, "\*" is an abbreviation for " $i_0 + j_1 + i_1 + ... + j_t + i_t = m$ ;  $i_0$ ,  $i_t \ge 0$ ,  $i_k \ge 1$  ( $1 \le k < t$ ),  $j_k \ge 1$  ( $1 \le k \le t$ )" and

$$\xi = \sum_{x} (j_{1} - (s - 1))$$

$$= [x^{m}] \sum_{i_{0} \ge 0} x^{i_{0}} \cdot \sum_{j_{1} \ge 1} (j_{1} - (s - 1))x^{j_{1}} \cdot \sum_{i_{1} \ge 1} x^{i_{1}} \dots \sum_{j_{t} \ge 1} x^{j_{t}} \cdot \sum_{i_{t} \ge 0} x^{i_{t}}$$

$$(6) = [x^{m}] \frac{1}{1-x} \cdot \frac{x^{s}}{(1-x)^{2}} \cdot \frac{x}{1-x} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{x}{1-x} \cdot \frac{1}{1-x}$$

$$= [x^{m}] \frac{1}{(1-x)^{2}} \frac{x^{s}}{(1-x)^{2}} \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{2t-2} = [x^{m}] \frac{x^{2t-2+s}}{(1-x)^{2t+2}}$$

$$= [x^{m+2-s-2t}] (1-x)^{-2t-2} = \binom{m+3-s}{m+2-s-2t} = \binom{m+3-s}{2t+1} .$$

We have used that  $(1-x)^{-\alpha} = \sum_{k\geq 0} {\alpha+k-1 \choose k} x^k$ .

Now

$$\eta = \sum_{t \ge 1} t \binom{M+2}{2t+1} = \sum_{t \ge 1} \left( t + \frac{1}{2} \right) \binom{M+2}{2t+1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t \ge 1} \binom{M+2}{2t+1}$$

(7) 
$$= \frac{1}{2} (M+2) \sum_{t \ge 1} {M+1 \choose 2t} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t \ge 1} {M+2 \choose 2t+1} .$$

Since

(8) 
$$\sum_{t\geq 1} \binom{M+1}{2t} = \sum_{t\geq 1} \left[ \binom{M}{2t} + \binom{M}{2t-1} \right] = \sum_{t\geq 1} \binom{M}{t} = 2^{M}-1$$

and

(9) 
$$\sum_{t\geq 1} \binom{M+2}{2t+1} = \sum_{t\geq 1} \left[ \binom{M+1}{2t+1} + \binom{M+1}{2t} \right] = \sum_{t\geq 2} \binom{M+1}{t} = 2^{M+1} - M - 2,$$

we find

(10) 
$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} (M+2) \left(2^{M}-1\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(2^{M+1}-M-2\right) = M \cdot 2^{M-1}$$
.

Using (10) with M = m - (s-1),

$$\chi = \sum_{t \ge 1} t {m+3-s \choose 2t+1} = (m-(s-1)) 2^{m-s}$$
.

Now we are ready for the proof of the Theorem:

$$\sum_{0 \le n \le x} B_s(n) = \sum_{0 \le n \le 2} e_1 B_2(n) + \sum_{0 \le n \le x} B_s(n)$$

$$= (e_1 - (s-1)) 2^{e_1 - s} + \sum_{0 \le n \le x-2} e_1 B_s(n) + \delta_1(s) \left(x-2^{e_1} - \dots + \delta_1^{e_s}\right).$$

Iterating (11) we have

(12) 
$$\sum_{0 \le n \le x} B_s(n) = \sum_{1 \le i \le r} (e_i - (s-1)) 2^{e_i - s} + \sum_{1 \le i \le r - s} \delta_i^{(s)} (x-2^{e_1} - \dots - 2^{e_{s-1}+i}).$$

The second sum in (12) is

$$(13) \qquad \sum_{1 \le i \le r-s} \delta_i^{(s)} \left( 2^{e_{s+i}} + \ldots + 2^{e_r} \right) = \sum_{s < j \le r} \left( \delta_1^{(s)} + \ldots + \delta_{j-s}^{(s)} \right) 2^{e_j};$$

thus the proof is finished.

It should be possible to derive similar formulas for more general subwords and more general number systems. This will be done in another paper.

## REFERENCES

- P. Kirschenhofer, Subblock occurrences in the q-ary representation of n, SIAM J. Algebraic and Discrete Methods, to appear (1982).
- [2] H. Prodinger, Generalizing the sum of digits function, SIAM J. Algebraic and Discrete Methods 3 (1982), 35-42.
- [3] H. Prodinger, Subblock occurrences in representations of integers, preprint, TU Wien (1981).
- [4] K. B. Stolarsky, Power and exponential sums of digital sums related to binomial coefficient parity, SIAM J. Applied Math. 32 (1977) 717-730.

Institut für Algebra und Diskrete Mathematik Technische Universität Wien Gusshausstrasse 27-29, A-1040 Austria

Received April 17, 1982; Revised September 21, 1982.